

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/836,557		04/17/2001	Rolf Heiland	81666	8401
23685	7590	01/07/2004		EXAMINER	
		RIEGSMAN	PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C		
665 FRANK FRAMING				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TRAMANO				1771	
				DATE MAILED: 01/07/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- h
Advisory Action	09/836,557	HEILAND, ROLF	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher C Pratt	1771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address -	
THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a	n
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See M R 1.136(a) and the appropriate unt of the fee. The appropriate priginally set in the final Office:	extension extension action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);		
(c)	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifyi	ng the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would lead canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amen	dment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: <i>App</i>	reconsideration has been consid Significant's arguments rely on a non-er	dered but does NOT plac <u>ntered amendment</u> .	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newl	У
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) $oxtimes$ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided below	☐ will be entered and an w or appended.	I
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	•		
10. Other:	· // · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	

Primary Examiner

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment attempts to limit the scope of the claims. This limited claim has not been previously considered and would require further consideration and/or searching. The proposed amendment also confuses the scope of the claim by including limiting transitional language which conflicts with the open transitional language in the preamble of the claim.